UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,818	07/15/2003	Won-Gyu Kim	0662-0188P	5903
	7590 09/22/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/4 22040 0747	VAKILI, ZOHREH		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/618,818	KIM, WON-GYU		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ZOHREH VAKILI	1614		

Z	OHREH VAKILI	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of a plies: (1) an amendment, affidavi (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abando t, or other evidence, whic with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	h places the) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or trened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate on ally set in the final Office a	extension fee ction; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the ap	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content.	deration and/or search (see NOT; ; form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the i	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1164. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1215. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow	and 41.33(a)). See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PT0	•
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.5 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an expla	anation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but described See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P 		condition for allowance i	because:
13. Other:			
/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant adds new limitations to the claims that requires further search and/or consideration. Applicant has amended claim to indicate a new carbonated crushed, mass type vitamin preparation. It is not clear as to what are these crushed new carbonated mass type are. Applicant has already received an action on the merit directed to the carbonated candy-type, therefore, the additional carbonated crushed, mass type vitamin preparation to the claim language requires further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment will not be entered into the record because of the addition of new limitations that have not been previously considered and/or searched. However, in the interest of compact prosecution, applicant's remarks are considered, but are not persuasive for the reasons previously made of record in the final rejection (see pages 2-7) and in further view of the following comments: Applicant is attempting to demonstrate a patentable distinction over US Pub. No. 2004/0247744 A1 by indicating that it only teaches an edible film that releases gas. However, US Pub. No. 2004/0247744 A1teaches also a gasified candy that is usually hard candy containing gas, and upon the release of the pressure, the solid gasified candy fractures into granules of assorted sizes. This amendment is not being entered into the record and, accordingly, applicant's remarks related to the obviation of the rejection by such an amendment are not persuasive. Accordingly, the amendment will not be entered for the reasons above and claims 1,2,5 and 6 remain rejected for the reasons of record set forth in the final rejection of April 3, 2008.

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614